# **2**NCSCG 218<sup>TH</sup> ANNUAL HYBRID 1GI SYMPOSIUM June 26-27, 2021

# DDW 2021 Hepatology Update

KIDIST K. YIMAM, MD MEDICAL DIRECTOR, AUTOIMMUNE LIVER DISEASE PROGRAM DIVISION OF HEPATOLOGY AND LIVER TRANSPLANT CALIFORNIA PACIFIC MEDICAL CENTER, SAN FRANCISCO, CA JUNE 27, 2021

## Topics

COVID-19 and alcoholic liver disease

Non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH)

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC)

Cholestatic liver disease

Healthcare disparities in liver diseases



#### Trends in Alcohol Related Liver Disease During the SARS-COV2

#### Pandemic: Real-Time Analysis of Systemwide Data Trends Using

#### **Epic SlicerDicer**<sup>™</sup>

#### Travis Roark<sup>1</sup>, MD

Julio Gutierrez<sup>2</sup>, MD

Catherine Frenette<sup>2</sup>, MD, FAST, AGAF, FAASLD

<sup>1</sup> Scripps Green Clinic/Scripps Green Hospital, Department of Medicine, San Diego, CA;
<sup>2</sup> Scripps Center for Organ and Cell Transplant, Division of Gastroenterology & Hepatology, La Jolla, CA

DDW 2021 Abstract #11

### Methods

- Performed retrospective analysis of diagnosis and hospitalization trends using the Scripps Health electronic
- They included primary alcohol related diagnoses and alcohol related diseases specifically alcohol pancreatitis and alcohol hepatitis by searching various ICD- 10 codes from January 2019 through December 2020.
- They used publicly available government data of San Diego County SARS-CoV 2 cases to compare trends with internal cases.
- Gathered national alcohol sales data from the Federal Reserve Bank Economic Research website to compare 2020 sales with the prior three years.
- They analyzed trend significance using Z-score analysis.

### Results

#### **COVID-19 Cases with Milestones**



DDW 2021 Abstract #11

Courtesy of Dr. Catherine Frenette

#### Alcohol Dependence During COVID-19 Lockdowns



#### DDW 2021 Abstract #11

#### Courtesy of Dr. Catherine Frenette

#### **Cases of Alcohol Related Liver Disease**

#### (Inpatient + Outpatient Encounters)







**32.7% (1876/1414)** 



#### **Alcohol Related Pancreatitis**



#### Search Criteria: ICD-10 Codes: K85.20, K85.21, K85.22

C Scripps

DDW 2021 Abstract #11

#### **Non-Alcohol** Related Liver Disease

(Inpatient + Outpatient Encounters)





### INCREASED BURDEN OF ALCOHOL-RELATED GASTROINTESTINAL AND LIVER DISEASES DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC: A HOSPITAL SYSTEM-WIDE AUDIT

**W. Chung**, M. Min, S. Kothadia, F. Saeed, J. Scharfen, F. Habr. Gastroenterology, Lifespan/ Warren Alpert Brown Medical School, Providence, RI

### Conclusion

- COVID-19 pandemic is associated with increased harmful alcohol use, contributing to increased health complications.
- Social Isolation was likely the cause of increased harmful alcohol use.
- All medical providers should proactively screen for and aggressively address alcohol use disorder.
- Work is needed to identify other health cost/consequences of the pandemic.



### NASH/HCC

#### CHEMOPREVENTIVE EFFECT OF STATIN ON HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA IN NON- ALCOHOLIC STEATOHEPATITIS PATIENTS WITH CIRRHOSIS

W. A. Al-Yaman1, K. Pinyopornpanish1, 2, R. Butler1, W. Carey1, A. McCullough1, C. Romero-Marrero1.

1Digestive Disease and Surgery Institute, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH; 2Chiang Mai University Faculty of Medicine, Chiang Mai, Thailand

### Methods

- They conducted a retrospective study at two US tertiary academic centers of patients with NASHrelated cirrhosis followed between July 2009 and June 2016.
- Patients were followed from date of diagnosis to the time of last abdominal imaging, liver transplantation or HCC diagnosis.
- HCC was defined by histological diagnosis of HCC or radiological diagnosis of Liver Imaging Reporting and Data System (LI- RADS) 5 criteria.
- Multivariable Cox regression analysis was performed to evaluate the risk factors associated with HCC development.
- Statin user was defined as a patient who was on statin with cumulative defined daily dose (cDDD) of at least 28 days.

### Result

- A total of 950 patients with NASH cirrhosis were identified,
  - ▶ 506 patients (53.3%) with histologic confirmation of NASH cirrhosis
  - ▶ 444 patients (46.7%) with clinical diagnosis of NASH cirrhosis.

HCC developed in 82 patients with NASH cirrhosis during 4,326 person-year follow-up

- Annual incidence rate of 1.90 per 100 person-year (95% CI, 1.53-2.35 / 100 person-year).
- Mean follow up time was  $4.6 \pm 3.3$  years.
- Multivariable analysis demonstrated that HCC development was associated with
  - Male gender (HR 4.00; 2.56-6.25, p<0.001), older age (HR 1.06; 1.03-1.08, p<0.001), and albumin level (HR 0.38; 0.28-0.51, p<0.001).</p>

### Result

Statin use was associated with lower risk of HCC (HR 0.49; 0.30-0.80, p=0.003).

- After adjusting for age, gender, race, decompensation status, smoking history, alcohol use, diabetes mellitus, BMI, and MELD- Na score demonstrated that:
  - Patients who used lipophilic statin were associated with significant HCC risk reduction (adj. HR 0.31, 95%CI 0.17-0.56, P<0.001), while</p>
  - Patients who used hydrophilic statin were not associated with statistically significant reduction (adj. HR 0.85, 95%CI 0.42-1.72, p=0.648).

Each 365 increment in cDDD of statin use reduced HCC risk by 23.6%.

### Conclusion

- Statin use reduced HCC risk significantly in cirrhotic NASH patients after adjusting for multiple variables.
- ▶ Lipophilic statins were associated with HCC risk reduction.
- > We need to change the habit of "stopping" statins in patients with cirrhosis.
- Though we have not started routine use of statins in patients with liver diseases without indications, data showing reduction of HCC and other complications of cirrhosis is compelling.

### Cholestatic Liver Disease

#### OBETICHOLIC ACID EXPOSURE IS ASSOCIATED WITH HEPATIC DECOMPENSATION IN SUBJECTS WITH PRIMARY BILIARY CHOLANGITIS AND CIRRHOSIS

B. V. John1, K. Schwartz1, C. Levy2, B. Dahman3, Y. Deng3, P. Martin2, T. H. Taddei4, D. E. Kaplan5.

1 Miami VA Healthcare System, Miami, FL; 2 University Of Miami Hospital, Miami, FL; 3 Virginia Commonwealth

University, Richmond, VA; 4Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT; 5Penn Medicine, Philadelphia, PA

## Background

- Obeticholic acid (OCA) is approved for the treatment of patients with primary biliary cholangitis (PBC) who are incomplete responders or intolerant to ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA) since 2016.
- Recently, the FDA reported severe adverse events of using OCA in patients with advanced liver disease using data from it's serious adverse event reporting system
  - 25 cases of liver failure (2016-2021)
    - ▶ 18/25 had compensated cirrhosis
      - ▶ 10/18 clinical evidence of portal hypertension
    - Median time to liver failure after OCA initiated (2 weeks to 10 months)
    - 4 needed liver transplant and 1 died of liver failure

### Background

Though the FDA report highlighted individual cases with severe drug-induced liver toxicity, quantification of increased risk of decompensation related to OCA requires a comparator group of OCA unexposed PBC patients at similar baseline risk.

### Methods

- This study investigated the impact of OCA use on hepatic decompensation and liver-related death or transplantation, in a cohort of patients with PBC and well-compensated cirrhosis and compared outcomes with a propensity-matched cohort of patients without OCA exposure.
- This was a retrospective cohort study utilizing national data of US Veterans with PBC and cirrhosis.



- ▶ They identified 509 subjects with compensated PBC cirrhosis.
  - 21 OCA users were matched with 84 non-users
- The initial and maintenance dose of OCA was 5 mg daily in 90%, 10 mg daily in 5% and 5 mg per week in 5% of subjects.
- Over 569 and 3847 person-months respectively of follow-up, 5 (23.8%) OCA users, and 22 (26.2%) OCA non-users decompensated.
- On multivariable analysis, after adjusting for potential confounders, OCA use was associated with an increased risk of hepatic decompensation
  - aHR 3.3, 95% CI 1.01-10.77, p=0.048.
- There was no association between OCA use and liver-related mortality or transplantation (aHR 2.09, 95% CI 0.50-8.68, p=0.31

DDW 2021 Abstract #237

### Conclusion

- This data suggest that exposure to OCA in patients with PBC cirrhosis is associated with a 3.3-fold higher risk of hepatic decompensation but not liver-related mortality or transplantation.
- > The mechanism of liver injury has not been well described yet.
- Note these are male VA patients and different from the majority of PBC patients, mostly female.
- More comparison studies are needed to assess outcomes of patients with PBC or other liver diseases treated with OCA.
- OCA remains beneficiary and safe in PBC patients with no advanced fibrosis (majority of our patients with PBC).

## Key Obeticholic Acid Label Change Boxed Warning

#### Previous Label (2018)

**OCALIVA<sup>®</sup>** (obeticholic acid) tablets, for oral use Initial U.S. Approval: 2016

WARNING: HEPATIC DECOMPENSATION AND FAILURE IN INCORRECTLY DOSED PBC PATIENTS WITH CHILD-PUGH CLASS B OR C OR DECOMPENSATED CIRRHOSIS See full prescribing information for complete boxed warning

- In postmarketing reports, hepatic decompensation and failure, in some cases fatal, have been reported in patients with primary biliary cholangitis (PBC) with decompensated cirrhosis or Child-Pugh Class B or C hepatic impairment when OCALIVA was dosed more frequently than recommended. (5.1)
- The recommended starting dosage of OCALIVA is 5 mg once weekly for patients with Child-Pugh Class B or C hepatic impairment or a prior decompensation event. (2.2)

#### Updated Label (2021)

OCALIVA<sup>®</sup> (obeticholic acid) tablets, for oral use Initial U.S. Approval: 2016

WARNING: HEPATIC DECOMPENSATION AND FAILURE IN PRIMARY BILIARY CHOLANGITIS PATIENTS WITH CIRRHOSIS See full prescribing information for complete boxed warning

- Hepatic decompensation and failure, sometimes fatal or resulting in liver transplant, have been reported with OCALIVA treatment in primary biliary cholangitis (PBC) patients with either compensated or decompensated cirrhosis. (5.1)
- OCALIVA is contraindicated in PBC patients with decompensated cirrhosis, a prior decompensation event, or with compensated cirrhosis who have evidence of portal hypertension. (4)
- Permanently discontinue OCALIVA in patients who develop laboratory or clinical evidence of hepatic decompensation, have compensated cirrhosis and develop evidence of portal hypertension, or experience clinically significant hepatic adverse reactions while on treatment. (2.3, 5.1)

## Key Obeticholic Acid Label Change Boxed Warning

#### Previous Label (2018)

**OCALIVA<sup>®</sup>** (obeticholic acid) tablets, for oral use Initial U.S. Approval: 2016

WARNING: HEPATIC DECOMPENSATION AND FAILURE IN INCORRECTLY DOSED PBC PATIENTS WITH CHILD-PUGH CLASS B OR C OR DECOMPENSATED CIRRHOSIS See full prescribing information for complete boxed warning

- In postmarketing reports, hepatic decompensation and failure, in some cases fatal, have been reported in patients with primary biliary cholangitis (PBC) with decompensated cirrhosis or Child-Pugh Class B or C hepatic impairment when OCALIVA was dosed more frequently than recommended. (5.1)
- The recommended starting dosage of OCALIVA is 5 mg once weekly for patients with Child-Pugh Class B or C hepatic impairment or a prior decompensation event. (2.2)

#### Updated Label (2021)

OCALIVA<sup>®</sup> (obeticholic acid) tablets, for oral use Initial U.S. Approval: 2016

#### WARNING: HEPATIC DECOMPENSATION AND FAILURE IN PRIMARY BILIARY CHOLANGITIS PATIENTS WITH CIRRHOSIS See full prescribing information for complete boxed warning

See juit prescribing information for complete boxed warning

- Hepatic decompensation and failure, sometimes fatal or resulting in liver transplant, have been reported with OCALIVA treatment in primary biliary cholangitis (PBC) patients with either compensated or decompensated cirrhosis. (5.1)
- OCALIVA is contraindicated in PBC patients with decompensated cirrhosis, a prior decompensation event, or with compensated cirrhosis who have evidence of portal hypertension. (4)
- Permanently discontinue OCALIVA in patients who develop laboratory or clinical evidence of hepatic decompensation, have compensated cirrhosis and develop evidence of portal hypertension, or experience clinically significant hepatic adverse reactions while on treatment. (2.3, 5.1)

## Disparities in HCC Care

#### RACIAL AND ETHNIC DISPARITIES IN SURVIVAL AMONG PATIENTS WITH HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA IN THE UNITED STATES: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW AND META-ANALYSIS

**N. E. Rich**, C. Carr, A. Yopp, J. A. Marrero, A. G. Singal. Internal Medicine, Division of Digestive and Liver Diseases, UT Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX

DDW 2021 Liver & Biliary Distinguished Abstract Plenary, Abstract #356

## Background/Aim

- HCC is the fastest rising cause of cancer-related death in the United States; however, HCC incidence and mortality are not equally distributed among racial/ethnic groups.
- The aim of this study was to characterize the direction and magnitude of racial/ethnic disparities among patients with HCC
  - Overall survival
  - Early tumor detection

### Method

- They searched MEDLINE, EMBASE and Cochrane databases from inception through August 2020 for studies reporting HCC outcomes (early-stage presentation and overall survival) by race and ethnicity.
- The primary outcome of interest was overall survival; the secondary outcome of interest was detection of HCC at an early stage.
- They calculated pooled hazard ratios (HRs) and odds ratios (ORs) for each racial/ethnic group (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian).
- They performed pre-planned subgroup analyses by cohort type (i.e., academic or hospital-based vs population-based).

## **Study Selection**



Rich NE et al, CGH (in press)

Courtesy of Dr. Nicole Rich

# Black patients with HCC had worse overall survival compared to White patients

		Hazard Ratio	Weight
Study	I	with 95% Cl	(%)
Davila, 2006	<b>—</b>	1.06 [ 0.99, 1.14]	12.08
Yu, 2010		1.03 [ 0.11, 1.95]	0.18
Zaydfudim, 2010		1.12 [ 0.81, 1.44]	1.42
Jan, 2012		0.99 [ 0.32, 1.66]	0.34
Aparo, 2014		0.87 [ 0.56, 1.18]	1.51
Hoehn, 2015		1.14 [ 1.04, 1.24]	8.94
Stewart, 2016		1.06 [ 1.01, 1.11]	16.12
Mokdad, 2017	-	1.12 [ 1.05, 1.19]	13.61
Chayanupatkul, 2017		0.93 [ 0.65, 1.22]	1.71
Franco, 2018	-	1.10 [ 1.03, 1.17]	12.83
Sobotka, 2018		1.28 [ 1.05, 1.51]	2.52
Jones, 2018		1.28 [ 0.97, 1.59]	1.42
Kim, 2018		0.97 [ 0.55, 1.39]	0.84
Estevez, 2019		1.07 [ 0.72, 1.42]	1.16
Rich, 2019		1.12 [ 1.10, 1.14]	20.79
Scaglione, 2020		0.80 [ 0.26, 1.33]	0.51
Lee, 2020		0.78 [ 0.60, 0.96]	4.02
Overall risk of mortality	<b>*</b>	1.08 [ 1.05, 1.12]	
Heterogeneity: I <sup>2</sup> = 45.9%	L		
		_	
	0 .5 1 1.5	2	

Rich NE et al, *CGH* (in press)

Courtesy of Dr. Nicole Rich

# Hispanic patients with HCC had better survival than non-Hispanic White patients



Rich NE et al, CGH (in press)

Courtesy of Dr. Nicole Rich

### Asian patients with HCC had better survival than White patients



Rich NE et al, *CGH* (in press)

Courtesy of Dr. Nicole Rich

### Black patients less likely than White patients to be diagnosed with early-stage HCC

		Odds Ratio	Weight
Study		with 95% Cl	(%)
Davila 2006	-	0 92 [ 0 80 1 04]	9 22
Kommor 2008			7.16
Kemmer, 2008		0.31[ 0.07, 0.55]	7.10
Cubillas, 2009		0.39 [ 0.10, 0.68]	6.47
Yu, 2010		0.44 [ 0.13, 0.75]	6.06
Aparo, 2014		0.97 [ 0.53, 1.41]	4.29
Hoehn, 2015		0.93 [ 0.90, 0.97]	10.16
Stewart, 2016		0.84 [ 0.77, 0.91]	9.90
Aru, 2016		0.52 [ 0.25, 0.79]	6.81
Chan, 2016		0.20 [ -0.26, 0.66]	4.07
Jones, 2018		0.37 [ 0.21, 0.53]	8.74
Dakhoul, 2019		0.79 [ 0.52, 1.06]	6.73
Estevez, 2019		0.80 [ 0.60, 1.00]	7.96
Rich, 2019		0.74 [ 0.53, 0.95]	7.78
Scaglione, 2020		0.72 [ 0.31, 1.13]	4.64
Overall odds of early stage HCC	◆ [	0.66 [ 0.54, 0.78]	
Heterogeneity: I <sup>2</sup> = 88.0%			
	i		
	-5 0 5 1	15	

Rich NE et al, CGH (in press)

Courtesy of Dr. Nicole Rich

### No difference in early-stage detection between Hispanic patients and non-Hispanic White patients

	Odds Ratio	Weight
Study	with 95% Cl	(%)
Davila, 2006	1.22 [ 1.02, 1.42]	9.76
Cubillas, 2009	0.96 [ -0.18, 2.10]	1.65
Yu, 2010	0.57 [ 0.29, 0.85]	8.44
Aparo, 2014	1.00 [ 0.59, 1.41]	6.55
Stewart, 2016	1.12 [ 1.05, 1.19]	11.23
Alkhalili, 2017	0.98 [ 0.43, 1.53]	4.81
Venepalli, 2017	0.70 [ 0.15, 1.25]	4.81
Jones, 2018		9.38
Jones, 2019	1.02 [ 0.92, 1.12]	10.90
Kuftinec, 2019	0.53 [ 0.23, 0.83]	8.12
Rich, 2019		9.30
Scaglione, 2020	1.08 [ 0.47, 1.69]	4.29
Pomenti, 2020	0.59 [ 0.47, 0.71]	10.75
Overall odds of early stage HCC	0.86 [ 0.70, 1.02]	
Heterogeneity: I <sup>2</sup> = 86.9%		
	0 .5 1 1.5 2	

Rich NE et al, *CGH* (in press)

Courtesy of Dr. Nicole Rich

# No difference in early-stage detection between Asian patients and White patients



Rich NE et al, *CGH* (in press)

Courtesy of Dr. Nicole Rich

### Subgroup analyses – overall survival

	<b>Population-based cohorts</b>	Academic cohorts
Black vs. White	HR 1.09 (95%CI 1.06 – 1.12)	HR 1.00 (95%CI 0.85 – 1.15)
Hispanic vs. White	HR 0.97 (95%CI 0.93 – 1.02)	HR 0.81 (95% CI 0.75 -0.90)
Asian vs. White	HR 0.83 (95%CI 0.75 – 0.92)	HR 0.73 (95%CI 0.56 – 0.90)

Rich NE et al, CGH (in press)

Courtesy of Dr. Nicole Rich

### Limitations of Included Studies

- All studies retrospective
  - Missing data, measurement bias
  - Potential misclassification of race/ethnicity
  - Selection bias (n=21 studies at academic centers)
- Lack data on cirrhosis etiology, liver disease severity, treatments beyond 1<sup>st</sup> HCC treatment
- Most studies controlled for tumor stage or treatment receipt (n=19); fewer adjusted for liver disease severity (n=6) and SES (n=14)

Courtesy of Dr. Nicole Rich

### Conclusion

- There are significant racial and ethnic disparities in HCC prognosis in the United States, with Black patients having worse overall survival and Hispanic and Asian patients having better overall survival compared to White patients.
- Though considerable progress has been made in describing the magnitude of disparities in HCC, data are still limited on the specific determinants driving these disparities (multifactorial).
- Future studies are needed to take the critical next steps to determine the root causes of HCC disparities and <u>implement interventions</u>.

# Thank You